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## Homotopy and homotopy equivalence continued

Question 1. Let $X$ be the subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ given by

$$
x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{p}^{2}-x_{p+1}^{2}-\cdots-x_{n}^{2}=1
$$

Show that $X$ is homotopy equivalent to $S^{p-1}$, the intersection of $X$ with the subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ given by $x_{p+1}=\cdots=$ $x_{n}=0$. [Hint: try to increase $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}$ while decreasing $x_{p+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and keeping $x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{p}^{2}-x_{p+1}^{2}-\cdots-x_{n}^{2}$ fixed.]

Question 2. Let $X$ be the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ given by

$$
x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}=1 .
$$

Show that $X$ is homotopy equivalent to $S^{n-1}$. [Hint: identify $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ and show that the homotopy constructed in question 1 for the hypersurface $\sum\left(\operatorname{Re} x_{i}\right)^{2}-\sum\left(\operatorname{Im} x_{i}\right)^{2}=1$ preserves $X$.]

Suppose $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is equipped with a positive definite bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$. For any $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ we define the projection map $p r_{v}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by

$$
p r_{v}(w)=\frac{(w, v)}{(v, v)} v
$$

Given a basis $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ form a sequence $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$ of vectors as follows:

$$
f_{1}=e_{1}, f_{2}=e_{2}-p r_{f_{1}}\left(e_{2}\right), f_{3}=e_{3}-p r_{f_{1}}\left(e_{3}\right)-p r_{f_{2}}\left(e_{3}\right), \ldots, f_{n}=e_{n}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p r_{f_{j}}\left(e_{n}\right)
$$

Question 3. (a) Check by induction that for all $i=1, \ldots, n$

- the span of $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{i}$ coincides with the span of $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{i}$;
- $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{i}$ are pairwise orthogonal.

So $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$ is an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and if we set $g_{i}=\frac{f_{i}}{\sqrt{\left(f_{i}, f_{i}\right)}}, i=1, \ldots, n$ we get an orthonormal basis. In this way we construct an orthonormal basis out of a given basis; this algorithm is called the Gram-Schmidt process.
(b)Use the above to show that $O(n)$, the group of real orthogonal $n \times n$-matrices, is homotopy equivalent to $G L_{n}(\mathbb{R})$, the group of all real $n \times n$-matrices with non-zero determinant. [Hint: use the Gram-Schmid process to construct a map $G L_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow O(n)$ and show that it is the identity on $O(n) \subset G L_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ and that it is homotopic to the identity viewed as a map $G L_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G L_{n}(\mathbb{R})$.]

## CW-complexes

Our next topic will be CW-complexes. This is a rather important class of topological spaces. In fact, it wouldn't be an overstatement to say that most spaces algebraic topologists care about are CW-complexes, or at least are homotopy equivalent to such. In the sequel we'll look into some basic properties of CW-complexes in some detail but first let us consider a few examples.

A $C W$-complex is a Hausdorff topological space $X$ such that there are continuous maps $\gamma_{\alpha}^{n}: D^{n} \rightarrow X$ (where $\alpha$ just runs through some index set) with the following properties:

- The restriction of $\gamma_{\alpha}^{n}$ to the interior of $D^{n}$ is injective; the image of the interior of $D^{n}$ under $\gamma_{\alpha}^{n}$ is called $a(n$ open) cell of $X$.
- $X$ is the disjoint union of its open cells;
- (W) A subset of $X$ is closed iff its intersection with the closure of each cell is closed.
- (C) The closure of any cell is contained in a union of finitely many cells.

Question 4. Show that if a topological space $X$ is the union of finitely many closed subspaces $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}$ of $X$, then a subset $Z \subset X$ is closed iff $Z \cup Y_{i}$ is closed for all $i$. Deduce that if $X$ is Hausdorff and there are finitely many maps $\gamma_{\alpha}^{n}: D^{n} \rightarrow X$ which have the first two of the above properties, they will automatically have the other two properties ( C and W ).

So if we are able to construct finitely many maps from closed unit disks to $X$ such that their restrictions to the interiors are injective and the images of the interiors cover the whole of $X$, we can conclude that $X$ is a CW-complex.

Question 5. Show that the following spaces are CW-complexes.
(a) $S^{n}$ [Hint: if you are stuck try to do this first for $n=1$ and 2.]
(b) $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$. [Hint: again it might be a good idea to try $n=1$ and 2 first.]
(c) The connected sum of $g 2$-tori. [Hint: use the classification of surfaces.]
(d) The connected sum of $g$ real projective planes. [Hint: same as in part (c).]
(e) The connected sum of $g 2$-tori with $n$ boundary components.
(f) The connected sum of $g$ real projective planes with $n$ boundary components.

That'll do for now, although we'll see more examples in the future. In particular, we'll see that CW-complexes can be quite complicated and they like to play tricks on unwary people.

## Problems for discussion

Recall that the genus of $S=T^{2} \# \cdots \# T^{2}$ is $g$ (by definition). The genus and the Euler characteristic of $S$ are related by $\chi(S)=2-2 g$. Similarly, the genus of $S=\mathbb{R} P^{2} \# \cdots \# \mathbb{R} P^{2}$ is $g$ and we have $\chi(S)=2-g$.

Let $S$ be a compact surface $S$ with boundary. We assume that $\operatorname{bd}(S)$ is homeomorphic to a disjoint union of circles. The genus of $S$ is the genus of the surface without boundary obrained by attaching 2 -disks to all boundary components of $S$.

1. Suppose a surface $S$ is obtained from surfaces $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ by identifying a boundary component of $S_{1}$ with a boundary component of $S_{2}$. Show that $\chi(S)=\chi\left(S_{1}\right)+\chi\left(S_{2}\right)$. Deduce that if a surface $S^{\prime \prime}$ is obtained from a surface $S^{\prime}$ by removing a small round open disk from one of the polygons, then $\chi\left(S^{\prime \prime}\right)=\chi\left(S^{\prime}\right)-1$.
2. Deduce that if $S_{1}, S_{2}$ are surfaces, then $\chi\left(S_{1} \# S_{2}\right)=\chi\left(S_{1}\right)+\chi\left(S_{2}\right)-2$ (we've seen a slightly different proof in the lectures).
3. Show that the genus of a surface with boundary is a topological invariant and calculate it in terms of the number of the boundary components and the Euler characteristic. Deduce that the genus, orientability and the number of boundary components form a complete set of invariants for compact surfaces.
4. (a) Let $S^{\prime}$ be a surface obtained from a surface $S$ by attaching a thin ribbon to the boundary of $S$ (see blackboard). Calculate $\chi\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ and the number of the boundary components of $S^{\prime}$ when the ribbon connects two different boundary components and when it connects two pieces of the same component.
(b) How are the genera of $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ related, assuming both are orientable or both are non-orientable?
5. (a) Show that every surface with boundary can be obtained by attaching ribbons to the boundary of the unit disk $D^{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$. Deduce that every surface with boundary can be embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and every orientable surface with boundary can be immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.
6. Show that every surface without boundary can be obtained by taking the connected sum of $S^{2}, \mathbb{R} P^{2}$ or the Klein bottle and some number of 2-tori. Deduce that every orientable surface without boundary can be embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and that all non-orientable surfaces can be immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$, provided the Klein bottle and $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$ can be. We've seen an immersion of the Klein bottle in the lectures. Can you construct one for $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$ ?

A triangulated surface is a surface $S$ obtained from a finite disjoint union $\bigsqcup \triangle_{i}$ of triangles in such a way that 1 . no two distinct points of any $\triangle_{i}$ are glued together; 2. the intersection of the images in $S$ of any two triangles is a single common edge, or a single common vertex, or empty.
7. (a) Show that for a triangulated surface $S$ with empty boundary the number $e$ of edges is $\frac{3}{2} t$ where $t$ is the number of triangles, and express both $e$ and $t$ in terms of $\chi(S)$ and $v$, the number of vertices.
(b) Show that $e \leq \frac{v(v-1)}{2}$ and deduce that

$$
v \geq \frac{1}{2}(7+\sqrt{49-24 \chi(S)})
$$

8. It follows from the previous question that a triangulation of $S^{2}$ can not have less than 4 vertices, while a triangulation of $T^{2}$ can't have less than 7 . Can you construct a triangulation of the 2 -torus with precisely 7 vertices?

Remark. In fact, it turns out that the above bound is sharp for almost all surfaces. Namely, a surface $S$ admits a triangulation that has the smallest number of vertices allowed by the above bound, unless $S$ is Klein's bottle $\left(\mathbb{R} P^{2} \# \mathbb{R} P^{2}\right)$, the non-orientable surface of genus $3\left(\mathbb{R} P^{2} \# \mathbb{R} P^{2} \# \mathbb{R} P^{2}\right)$ or the orientable surface of genus $2\left(T^{2} \# T^{2}\right)$.

