

Elliptic Functions

Riemann surfaces of algebraic functions.

§4.1 Riemann surface of algebraic functions.

Hitherto: elliptic integrals and elliptic functions (mainly) over \mathbb{R} .

Let us *complexify* the theories!

Want: integrals of $R(x, \sqrt{\varphi(x)})$ on \mathbb{C} .

→ A problem of multi-valuedness (branches) of $\sqrt{\varphi(x)}$ occurs.

The simplest case: \sqrt{z} .

What is \sqrt{z} ? — “ w which satisfies $w^2 = z$ ”.

Then \sqrt{z} cannot be uniquely determined: if $w^2 = z$, then $(-w)^2 = z$.

Where does this “ $-$ ” sign come from?

$z = re^{i\theta}$ ($r = |z|$, $\theta = \arg z$; polar form) $\implies \sqrt{z} = \sqrt{r}e^{i\theta/2}$.

- For $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $\sqrt{r} > 0$ is uniquely determined.
- $\theta = \arg z$ is NOT unique! $\arg z$ is determined only up to $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$:


$$z = re^{i\theta} = re^{i(\theta \pm 2\pi)} = re^{i(\theta \pm 4\pi)} = \dots = re^{i(\theta + 2n\pi)}.$$

Correspondingly,

$$\sqrt{z} = \sqrt{r}e^{i(\theta + 2n\pi)/2} = \sqrt{r}e^{i\theta + in\pi} = (-1)^n \sqrt{r}e^{i\theta}.$$


Two solutions to the multi-valuedness problem:

1. Restrict the range of \arg (e.g., $-\pi < \arg z \leq \pi$).
 - Not convenient, for example, to consider \sqrt{z} on a curve around 0.
(cf. Figure.)



2. Double the domain of definition (Riemann's idea):
 - Assign two "points" $(z, +)$ and $(z, -)$ to each $z \neq 0$.

D : “small” domain, $0 \notin D$. $\implies D$ splits to D_+ and D_- .




$$z = re^{i\theta} \ (\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]) \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \sqrt{(z, +)} = +\sqrt{r}e^{i\theta/2}, \\ \sqrt{(z, -)} = -\sqrt{r}e^{i\theta/2}. \end{cases}$$

How about $z = 0$? Since $\sqrt{0} = 0$ is unique, it should not be split.

Then what occurs with the whole plane \mathbb{C} ?

Answer (by Riemann):

Glue $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})_+$ & $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})_-$ (= two copies of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$) as follows:



Motion of $z = re^{i\varphi}$ ($r > 0$, $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]$):

1. When $\varphi \leq \pi$, z moves on the upper plane.
2. When φ exceeds π , z transfers to the lower plane.
3. When $\varphi = 2\pi$, z does not come back to the start!

$$\varphi = 0 \leftrightarrow (z, +) \rightsquigarrow (z, -) \leftrightarrow \varphi = 2\pi$$


Correspondingly, when $z = re^{i(\varphi+\theta)}$ ($0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$) moves around 0:

$$\sqrt{z} = \sqrt{r}e^{i\varphi/2} \xrightarrow{0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi} \sqrt{z} = -\sqrt{r}e^{i\varphi/2}.$$

Summarising: \sqrt{z} should be defined on

$$\mathcal{R} := (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})_+ \cup \{0\} \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})_-$$

$$\sqrt{z} : \quad \sqrt{r}e^{i\varphi/2} \quad 0 \quad -\sqrt{r}e^{i\varphi/2}$$



\mathcal{R} : Riemann surface of \sqrt{z} quite “hand-made”.

- Systematic construction of the Riemann surface:

Points of \mathcal{R} : $(z, \pm) \rightsquigarrow (z, w = \pm\sqrt{z} = \pm\sqrt{r}e^{i\varphi/2})$.

$$\mathcal{R} := \{(z, w) \mid F(z, w) := w^2 - z = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2.$$

- 0 is naturally included in \mathcal{R} as $(0, 0)$.
- \mathcal{R} has natural topology as a subset of \mathbb{C}^2 .
- \mathcal{R} is a *one-dimensional complex manifold*.

- Review: manifold

X : real (C^r -)manifold

- X : Hausdorff space.
- $\{(U_\lambda, \phi_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$: atlas of X , i.e.,

$$U_\lambda \subset X : \text{open, } \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_\lambda = X,$$

$$\phi_\lambda : U_\lambda \rightarrow V_\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N : \text{homeomorphism}$$

- $\phi_\lambda \circ \phi_\mu^{-1} : \phi_\mu(U_\lambda \cap U_\mu) \rightarrow \phi_\lambda(U_\lambda \cap U_\mu)$: C^r -diffeomorphism.

(Figure)



Complex manifold: $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, C^r -diffeomorphism \rightarrow holomorphic bijection.

Theorem:

Assumptions:

- $F(z, w)$: polynomial.
- $\left(F, \frac{\partial F}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial w} \right) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ on a domain $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$.

Then $\{(z, w) \mid F(z, w) = 0\} \cap U$ is a one-dimensional complex manifold (possibly non-connected). □

Remark:

May assume that $F(z, w)$ is a holomorphic function in (z, w) .

We use only the polynomial case.

Lemma: (Holomorphic implicit function theorem)

$F(z, w)$: as above. Assume $F(z_0, w_0) = 0$, $\frac{\partial F}{\partial w}(z_0, w_0) \neq 0$.

Then,

- $\exists r, \rho > 0$ such that

$$\left\{ (z, w) \mid \begin{array}{l} |z - z_0| < r, |w - w_0| < \rho \\ F(z, w) = 0 \end{array} \right\} \ni (z, w) \mapsto z \in \{z \mid |z - z_0| < r\}$$

is bijective.

- the component $\varphi(z)$ of the inverse map $z \mapsto (z, \varphi(z))$ is holomorphic.

□

Obvious from the implicit function theorem in the real analysis?

... No. One has to prove that $\varphi(z)$ is holomorphic.

Proof:

$f(w) := F(z_0, w)$: $f(w_0) = 0$, $f'(w_0) \neq 0$ by assumption.

$\implies f$ has only one zero in a neighbourhood of w_0 :

$$(\text{number of zeros in } |w - w_0| < \rho) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|w-w_0|=\rho} \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} dw = 1$$

for sufficiently small ρ .

In general, if $|z - z_0|$ is so small that $F(z, w) \neq 0$ on $\{w \mid |w - w_0| = \rho\}$,

$$N(z) := \#\{w \mid F(z, w) = 0, |w - w_0| < \rho\} \quad (\implies N(z) \in \mathbb{Z})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|w-w_0|=\rho} \frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial w}(z, w)}{F(z, w)} dw. \quad (\implies N(z) \text{ is continuous in } z.)$$

$\implies N(z)$: locally constant.

We know $N(z_0) = 1$. $\implies N(z) = 1$ if $|z - z_0| < r$ (r : small).

This means that the projection

$$\left\{ (z, w) \left| \begin{array}{l} |z - z_0| < r, |w - w_0| < \rho \\ F(z, w) = 0 \end{array} \right. \right\} \ni (z, w) \mapsto z \in \{z \mid |z - z_0| < r\}$$

is bijective.

$z \mapsto (z, \varphi(z))$: the inverse map, i.e., $F(z, \varphi(z)) = 0$.

Formula in Complex Analysis:

- $g(w), \psi(w)$: holomorphic on a neighbourhood of $\{w \mid |w - w_0| \leq \rho\}$,
- $g(w) \neq 0$: on $\{w \mid |w - w_0| = \rho\}$,

Then

$$\sum_{\substack{w_i: g(w_i)=0 \\ |w_i - w_0| < \rho}} \psi(w_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|w - w_0| = \rho} \frac{g'(w)}{g(w)} \psi(w) dw.$$


Apply this formula to $g(w) = F(z, w)$ and $\psi(w) = w$:

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|w-w_0|=\rho} \frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial w}(z, w)}{F(z, w)} w dw.$$

Integrand depends on z holomorphically. $\implies \varphi(z)$: holomorphic. □

$\frac{\partial F}{\partial w}(z_0, w_0) \neq 0 \implies z$: a coordinate of $\mathcal{R} = \{F(z, w) = 0\}$ near (z_0, w_0) :

(Figure)



$\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}(z_0, w_0) \neq 0 \implies w$: a coordinate of $\mathcal{R} = \{F(z, w) = 0\}$ near (z_0, w_0) .

$\frac{\partial F}{\partial w}(z_0, w_0) \neq 0$ and $\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}(z_0, w_0) \neq 0 \implies z$ & w can be a coordinate.

Coordinate changes: $z \mapsto w = \varphi(z)$, $w \mapsto z = \varphi^{-1}(w)$ are holomorphic.

(Recall: the inverse of a holomorphic function is holomorphic.)

Summarising,

$\mathcal{R} = \{(z, w) \mid F(z, w) = 0\}$: one-dimensional complex manifold. □

In algebraic geometry, it is called a *non-singular algebraic curve*:

- “non-singular”: no singular points, where $\frac{\partial F}{\partial w} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} = 0$.
- “algebraic”: F is a polynomial.
- “curve”: one-dimensional over \mathbb{C} .

Example: $F(z, w) = w^2 - z$, $\mathcal{R} = \{(z, w) \mid w^2 = z\}$.


$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial w} = 2w, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} = -1.$$

Hence,

- z : coordinate except at $(z, w) = (0, 0)$.
- w : coordinate everywhere.

The function \sqrt{z} on \mathcal{R} : $(z, w) \mapsto w$.

Defined everywhere! and holomorphic even at $z = 0$!




Riemann surface of $\sqrt{1 - z^2}$.


$$f(z) := \sqrt{1 - z^2} = \sqrt{(1 - z)(1 + z)}$$

- changes its sign when z goes around $+1$ or -1 .
- does not change its sign when z goes around both $+1$ and -1 .

(Figure of changes of the sign of $\sqrt{1 - z^2}$)



\implies Riemann surface of $f(z) = \sqrt{1 - z^2}$ = two \mathbb{C} 's cut along $[-1, +1]$ glued together.



(Figure of gluing)

$$\mathcal{R} = (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 1\})_+ \cup \{-1, +1\} \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 1\})_-.$$

Another definition: $f(z)$ satisfies $f(z)^2 + z^2 - 1 = 0$. So,

$$\mathcal{R} = \{(z, w) \mid F(z, w) := z^2 + w^2 - 1 = 0\}.$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial w} = 2w, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} = 2z,$$

- z is a coordinate around (z_0, w_0) , $w_0 \neq 0$, i.e., $z_0 \neq \pm 1$.
- w should be used as a coordinate around $(\pm 1, 0)$.


The function $f(z) = \sqrt{1 - z^2}$ is defined as

$$f : \mathcal{R} \ni (z, w) \mapsto w$$

on \mathcal{R} as a *single-valued* function.

What surface is \mathcal{R} topologically?

In the picture of \mathcal{R} as glued \mathbb{C} 's:




the interval $[-1, +1]$ seems to be a self-intersection. But it is *NOT!*

\exists TWO points $(z, w) = (z, \pm\sqrt{1 - z^2})$ for each $z \in [-1, +1]$.


\implies Better to glue them with different orientations.

(Figure)




(Figure of gluing)

~



~



= cylinder!

Recall: we want to study elliptic integrals with complex variables.

Prototype: $\int \frac{dz}{\sqrt{1-z^2}}$.

Question: Where does the 1-form $\omega = \frac{dz}{\sqrt{1-z^2}}$ live?

Answer: on the Riemann surface \mathcal{R} of $\sqrt{1-z^2}$.

There we have to replace $\sqrt{1-z^2}$ by w : $\omega = \frac{dz}{w}$.

$\implies \omega$ is not defined when $w = 0$, i.e., $z = \pm 1$, *NO!*

Recall that at $(\pm 1, 0) \in \mathcal{R}$ we have to use w as a coordinate.

$$w^2 = 1 - z^2 \xrightarrow{\frac{d}{dz}} 2w dw = -2z dz.$$

$$\implies \omega = \frac{1}{w} dz = \frac{1}{w} \frac{-w dw}{z} = \frac{-dw}{\sqrt{1-w^2}}: \text{ holomorphic at } (\pm 1, 0).$$


$$\omega = \frac{dz}{\sqrt{1-z^2}} = \frac{dz}{w} = \frac{-dw}{\sqrt{1-w^2}}: \text{ holomorphic 1-form on the whole } \mathcal{R}.$$

Recall: If $f(z)$ is an entire function (= holomorphic on the whole \mathbb{C}), the indefinite integral

$$F(z) := \int_{z_0}^z f(z') dz'$$

defines a single-valued holomorphic function by virtue of Cauchy's integral theorem: (Figure $z_0 \xrightarrow{C \rightarrow C'} z$)


$$\int_C f(z) dz = \int_{C'} f(z') dz'.$$





How about the integral of $\omega = \frac{dz}{\sqrt{1-z^2}}$?

Because of the non-trivial topology of \mathcal{R} , $\int_C \omega$ depends on C .


$\int_{C_0} \omega$: (Figure of C_0)



$\int_{C_1} \omega$: (Figure of C_1)



$$\implies \int_{C_1} \omega - \int_{C_0} \omega = \int_A \omega.$$



$$\implies \int_{C_2} \omega - \int_{C_0} \omega = - \int_A \omega.$$

For general contours? — Better to use terminology in topology.

The first homology group of a topological space X : (very rough summary)

$$H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) := \langle \text{Free abelian group generated by closed curves in } X \rangle / \sim .$$

The equivalence relation: for closed curves C, C' ,

$$[C] \sim [C'] \iff C^{-1}C' = \bigcup (\text{boundaries of domains}).$$

(“ C and C' are homologically equivalent”).

Figure: homological equivalence.



- homotopically equivalent \implies homologically equivalent.
- $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$: an abelian group.

Using this terminology:

$$\mathcal{R} \sim \text{cylinder} \implies H_1(\mathcal{R}, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[A].$$

Previous examples:

$$[C_1] - [C_0] = [A] \text{ in } H_1(\mathcal{R}, \mathbb{Z}) \implies \int_{C_1} \omega - \int_{C_0} \omega = \int_A \omega.$$

$$[C_2] - [C_0] = -[A] \text{ in } H_1(\mathcal{R}, \mathbb{Z}) \implies \int_{C_1} \omega - \int_{C_0} \omega = - \int_A \omega.$$

In general,


$$[C(P \rightarrow Q)] - [C_0] \in H_1(\mathcal{R}, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[A]$$

$$\implies \int_{C(P \rightarrow Q)} \omega - \int_{C_0} \omega = n \int_A \omega, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$\int_A \omega$: period of 1-form ω over A .

Shrink A to A_0 : $\int_A \omega = \int_{A_0} \omega$.

(Figure of A_0 : sign of $\sqrt{1-x^2}$ are different on each half plane.)



$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_0} \omega &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} + \int_1^{-1} \frac{-dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \\ &= \arcsin x \Big|_{x=-1}^{x=1} - \arcsin x \Big|_{x=1}^{x=-1} \\ &= \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right) - \left(\left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \right) - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) = 2\pi. \end{aligned}$$

When P moves from $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and comes back to x ,

$$u(P) = \int_0^P \omega$$

changes by $2\pi \times (\text{integer})$: $u(x) \rightsquigarrow u(x) + 2\pi n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

\iff the inverse function $x(u)$ of $u(x)$ has period 2π :

$$x(u + 2\pi n) = x(u), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In fact,

$$u(x) = \int_0^x \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} = \arcsin x, \quad x(u) = \sin u.$$

“ $\sin u$ is periodic because of the topology of the cylinder!”