# Topics in 3- and 4-dimensional topology

Below is a list of suggested topics and theorems to speak about. Of course, if a theorem is very deep, then while a proof in the seminar may not be appropriate, there will be a lot to say! Define the terms involved, describe its history, consequences, applications, *sketch* the ideas of the proof or prove a special case, give some examples, etc.

See me for specific references, how to structure your talk or any other guidance. You may work in pairs (or, indeed, you may divide a talk with me).

\*\*\*\*\*Asterisked\*\*\*\*\* items need to be covered.

## Heegaard Splittings of 3-manifolds

- \* (Reidemeister-Singer theorem) Any closed, orientable 3-manifold admits a Heegaard splitting, and such a splitting is unique up to isotopy and stabilizations.
- (Waldhausen theorem) Any two Heegaard splittings of the 3-sphere of the same genus are equivalent.
- $^{\ast}$  Define the mapping class group of a surface; prove that it is generated by Dehn twists.
- \* Classify closed, orientable 3-manifolds Y with Heegaard genus  $g(Y) \leq 1$ . Show that every non-negative integer can be realized as a Heegaard genus.
- \* Define Heegaard diagrams; give examples (lens spaces, Seifert manifolds, 3-torus, etc.); express the Reidemeister-Singer theorem in terms of Heegaard diagrams.

# (Counter-)examples

- "The eight faces of the Poincaré homology sphere" www.maths.ed.ac.uk/ aar/papers/kirbysch.pdf
- 3-manifolds which have: (a) the same homotopy and co/homology groups, but are not homotopy equivalent; (b) the same homotopy type but are not homeomorphic.

# Morse homology

\* Define the Morse chain complex, compute some examples. Compare with Floer homology.

## Papakyriakopoulos's theorems and consequences

- (Dehn's lemma and the loop theorem) If Y is a 3-manifold and the inclusion homomorphism  $\pi_1(\partial Y) \to \pi_1(Y)$  has non-trivial kernel, then there is an embedded 2-disk  $D \subset Y$  such that  $\partial D$  lies in  $\partial Y$  and represents a non-trivial element of  $\pi_1(\partial Y)$ .
- (The sphere theorem) If Y is an orientable 3-manifold with non-trivial second homotopy group  $\pi_2(Y) \neq 0$ , then there is an embedded 2-sphere S in Y which is not contractible in Y.

- (The unknotting theorem) A (smooth) knot  $K \subset S^3$  is unknotted if and only if the knot group  $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- (A non-cancelation theorem) If the connect sum  $K_1 \# K_2$  of two knots is unknotted, then so are the knots  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ .

#### Surgery on links in 3-space

- \* (Lickorish-Wallace theorem) Every closed, orientable 3-manifold can be obtained by integral Dehn surgery on a link in the 3-sphere.
- \* (Kirby theorem) Two closed, orientable 3-manifolds are homeomorphic if and only if they have Kirby diagrams that are related by handle sliding and stabilization (a.k.a. blow up/downs).
- \* (Rolfsen) Describe Kirby calculus for rational surgeries.
- (Gordon-Luecke theorem) If two knots have homeomorphic complements, then they are equivalent.
- The Property P "Conjecture" (now a theorem)

### 4-manifolds

- Kirby diagrams for 4-manifolds; the intersection form; Whitehead's theorem: the intersection form determines the homotopy type of simply-connected, closed, oriented 4-manifolds.
- Why is "four" special? That is, survey results that hold in dimensions five and more, but fail in dimension four (and/or below). Describe the Whitney trick, why this fails in dimension four, and the consequences.
- (Trisections of 4-manifolds) A closed, orientable 4-manifold may be decomposed into three 4-dimensional 1-handlebodies of genus g (c.f. a Heegaard splitting of a 3-manifold, a decomposition into two 3-dimensional 1-handlebodies of genus g)

#### Introducing algebraic geometry: the Casson invariant

- \* Given a Heegaard splitting  $Y = H_1 \cup_{\Sigma} H_2$  of a homology 3-sphere Y, the Casson invariant counts the <u>algebraic intersection</u> between (conjugacy classes of) <u>irreducible</u> <u> $SU_2$ -representations</u> of the fundamental groups  $\pi_1(H_1)$  and  $\pi_1(H_2)$ . Explain the meaning of the underlined terms.
- \* Prove independence of choice of Heegaard splitting.
- $\ast$  Define the Casson invariant for knots (via Heegaard splittings) and compute examples.