## Seminar 25.03.2024. Holomorphic convexity

March 31, 2024

**Definition 1.** A *Reinhardt domain* in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (centered at the origin) is a domain invariant under the <sup>n</sup>-action by coordinatewise rotations around the origin. We consider the map

 $\lambda : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, \ z \mapsto (\ln |z_1|, \dots, \ln |z_n|).$ 

**Definition 2**. A Reinhardt domain is *logarithmically convex*, if the image  $\lambda(D) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is convex. **Example.** We already know that the convergence domain of a power series based at the origin is a Reinhardt domain containing the origin.

**Problem 1.** A Reinhardt domain is a convergence domain for a power series, if and only if it contains the origin and is logarithmically convex.

**Sketch of proof.** Step 1 (Task 1, Problem 3). A convergence domain is a logarithmically convex Reinhardt domain.

Step 2. A logarithmically convex Reinhardt domain containing the origin is a union of polydisks centered at the origin. Or equivalently, its logarithmic image (which is a convex subset in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  containing at least one negative quadrant) is a union of negative quadrants.

Step 3. A logarithmically convex Reinhardt domain D containing the origin is holomorphically convex. Hence, it is a domain of holomorphy of a function f, by the proved part of Oka's Theorem. This together with Step 2 and Abel's Lemma implies that this is the convergence domain of the function f.

**Proof of Step 3.** Consider a closed convex subset in  $\mathbb{R}_{x_1,\dots,x_n}^n$  whose interior is a union of negative quadrants. Then it is the intersection of half-spaces defined by inequalities of the type  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j \leq c$  with  $a_j \geq 0$ ,  $a_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Multiplying the latter inequality by a natural number (product of denominators of the rational numbers  $a_j$ ) and substituting  $x_j = \ln |z_j|$ , we get an equivalent inequality of the type  $|z^m| = |z_1^{m_1} \dots z_n^{m_n}| \leq C$ .

First consider the case, when D is bounded. Set  $D_{\varepsilon} := (1 - \varepsilon)D$ , where  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  is small enough. One has  $\overline{D}_{\varepsilon} \in D$ , and  $\overline{D}_{\varepsilon}$  is F-convex, where F is the class of all the monomials  $z^m$ , by the above discussion. Any compact subset  $K \in D$  is contained in  $\overline{D}_{\varepsilon}$  for every  $\varepsilon$  small enough. Hence, its F-convex hull is also contained there, and thus, is compact. This implies that D is F-convex, and hence, holomorphically convex.

Consider now the case, when D is unbounded. Fix an arbitrary compact subset  $K \subseteq D$ and a polydisk  $\Delta_r$  containing K. The intersection  $D \cap \Delta_r$  is a logarithmically convex bounded Reinhardt domain. Hence, it is F-convex, by the above discussion. Therefore, the F-convex hull of the set K is a compact subset in  $D \cap \Delta_r$ . This proves F-convexity of the domain D, and hence, its holomorphic convexity.